



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,027	02/27/2002	Dominique Morche	15675p382	3019	
7590 12/17/2004 Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			EXAMINER		
			LE, LANA N		
7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, (CA 90025		2685	~	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004	' /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	—	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/980,027	MORCHE, DOMINIQUE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lana N Le	2685			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Faill Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the month part of the provided part of the month of the provided part of the month of the provided part	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 February 2002.				
-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2 and 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3-9 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apportionity documents have been received in Apport	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6</u> .		Mail Date prmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Since claim 1 does not set forth all the steps involved in the method/process, i.e. see claim 1, line it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass.

A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without citing all active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Regarding claim 2, lines 11-12, after "mixing signals", the phrase "in such a manner" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claim 10, "via at least one frequency transposition means" is indefinite in that the mixer is between the oscillator and the phase shifters where the oscillator is actually between the mixer and the oscillator.

Regarding claim 1, lines 27-28, it recites the limitation "each of said first and second signals". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2685

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- -claim 2, after "upstream mixers", the "," should be deleted since "two respective downstream mixing signals" are delivered as part of the transposition means.
 - -claim 2, lines 13-14, before "transposition", "the" should be added.
- -claim 2, line 18, after "mixing signals,", "and in that" is not appropriate claim language, it should be deleted or changed to "characterized in that"
- 3. Claims 5-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because they depend on a multiple dependent claim 4. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

 Accordingly, the claims 5-10 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heck (US 4,653,117) in view of Morche et al (A High Q 200 MHz Low Power Fully Integrated Bandpass IF Filter; IEEE Custom Integrated Circuits, May 14, 1998).

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 1, Heck discloses a bandpass filter method in which two frequency transposition are performed in parallel at (32A, 32B, 34A, 34B) on an input signal for filtering using respective first and second upstream mixing signals that are substantially in phase quadrature so as to obtain respective first and second transposed signals (output signals at 32A, 32B, 34A, 34B),

and the two transposed signals are filtered respectively by two lowpass filters (33A, 33B), the frequency of the transposition signals and the passband of the low pass filters being related to the frequency of the input signal and to the passband desired for the bandpass filter (by wave shaping the output of mixers 32A, 32B which receives the input signal and downconvert the input signal) so that the low pass filter will filter the downconverted signal at a passband capable of outputting a wanted signal within the needed frequency range;

and transposition means (32A, 32B, 34A, 34B) delivering two respective upstream mixing signals (output of 32A, output of 32B) which are substantially in phase quadrature to the upstream mixers and two respective downstream mixing signals (output of 34A, output of 34B) which are substantially in phase quadrature the device further comprising an adder (39) or a subtracter connected to the output from the downstream mixers,

the transposition means (32A, 32B, 34A, 34B) being provided to deliver the downstream mixing signals (output of mixers 34A, 34B) at a selected frequency different from the frequency of the upstream mixing signals (due to them being further downconverted from mixers 32A and 32B) in such a manner that the output signal 41

Art Unit: 2685

from the band pass filter (translating bandpass filter; fig. 2) is transposed into a desired frequency range,

the device being characterized in that it comprises a common oscillator (LO) coupled with a first phase shifter 36 for producing the upstream mixing signals (output of 32A, output of 32B) and coupled with a second phase shifter 38 for producing the downstream mixing signals (output of mixers 34A, 34B),

Heck does not disclose:

a common oscillator coupled to the first and second phase shifter. Morche et al disclose: a common oscillator (LO) coupled to the first and second phase shifter (see fig. 1). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the two oscillators of Heck with one common oscillator in order to output two LO signals of equal magnitude from the same local signal source.

Heck and Morche et al don't disclose:

the phase shifters are connected in opposite manner so that each of the two parallel branches receives the phase advanced output signal from one of the two phase shifters and the phase delayed output signal from the other of the two phase shifters. However, shifting the phase of the phase shifters positively or negatively one way or another is well known to be where the frequency increases with the advance of the phase and the frequency decrease with the delay of the phase. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to another

Art Unit: 2685

in order to vary the frequency and select the degree of the phase shifters of Heck so that the desired phase control range can be achieved.

Regarding claim 2, Heck discloses a band-pass filter device comprising (fig. 2): two parallel processing paths at (32A, 32B) connected between the input and the output;

each path comprising a lowpass filter cell (33A, 33B) located between an upstream mixer 32A and downstream mixer 32B;

and transposition means (32A, 32B, 34A, 34B) delivering two respective upstream mixing signals (output of 32A, output of 32B) which are substantially in phase quadrature to the upstream mixers and two respective downstream mixing signals (output of 34A, output of 34B) which are substantially in phase quadrature the device further comprising an adder (39) or a substracter connected to the output from the downstream mixers,

the transposition means (32A, 32B, 34A, 34B) being provided to deliver the downstream mixing signals (output of mixers 34A, 34B) at a selected frequency different from the frequency of the upstream mixing signals (due to them being further downconverted from mixers 32A and 32B) in such a manner that the output signal 41 from the band pass filter (translating bandpass filter; fig. 2) is transposed into a desired frequency range,

the device being characterized in that it comprises a common oscillator (LO) coupled with a first phase shifter 36 for producing the upstream mixing signals (output of 32A,

Art Unit: 2685

output of 32B) and coupled with a second phase shifter 38 for producing the downstream mixing signals (output of mixers 34A, 34B),

Heck does not disclose:

a common oscillator coupled to the first and second phase shifter. Morche et al disclose: a common oscillator (LO) coupled to the first and second phase shifter (see fig. 1). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the two oscillators of Heck with one common oscillator in order to output two LO signals of equal magnitude from the same local signal source.

Heck and Morche et al don't disclose:

the phase shifters are connected in opposite manner so that each of the two parallel branches receives the phase advanced output signal from one of the two phase shifters and the phase delayed output signal from the other of the two phase shifters. However, shifting the phase of the phase shifters positively or negatively one way or another is well known to be single side band principle where the frequency increases with the advance of the phase and the frequency decrease with the delay of the phase. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to another in order to vary the frequency and select the degree of the phase shifters of Heck so that the desired phase control range can be achieved.

Regarding claim 10, Heck, Morche et al disclose the device according to claim 2, wherein Heck discloses the device is further characterized in that the at least one

Application/Control Number: 09/980,027 Page 8

Art Unit: 2685

transposition means (32A, 32B, 34A, 34B) is coupled to one of the first and second phase shifters via the oscillator 40 (figs. 1 & 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objections and in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 3, Heck, Morche et al disclose a device according to claim 2, wherein Heck, Morche et al and the cited prior art fail to further disclose the device is characterized in that the ratio between the frequency of the upstream mixing signals and the frequency of the downstream mixing signals is equal to an integer ratio.

Regarding claim 4, Heck, Morche et al disclose a device according to claim 2, wherein Heck, Morche et al and the cited prior art fail to further disclose the device is characterized in that the two phase shifters are constituted by circuits each representing a cutoff frequency between their two phase shifted outputs that is equal to respectively to the frequency of the upstream mixing signals for the first phase shifter and to the frequency of the downstream mixing signals for the second phase shifter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lana N Le whose telephone number is (703) 308-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F Urban can be reached on (703) 305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lana Le

December 10, 2004